arXiv:1109.1386v1 [math.AP] 7 Sep 2011

MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS TO A MAGNETIC NONLINEAR CHOQUARD EQUATION

SILVIA CINGOLANI, MÓNICA CLAPP, AND SIMONE SECCHI

Abstract. We consider the stationary nonlinear magnetic Choquard equation

$$(-\mathrm{i}\nabla + A(x))^2 u + V(x)u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} * |u|^p\right)|u|^{p-2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

where A is a real valued vector potential, V is a real valued scalar potential, $N \geq 3$, $\alpha \in (0,N)$ and $2-(\alpha/N) < p < (2N-\alpha)/(N-2)$. We assume that both A and V are compatible with the action of some group G of linear isometries of $\mathbb{R}^N$. We establish the existence of multiple complex valued solutions to this equation which satisfy the symmetry condition

$$u(gx) = \tau(g)u(x) \quad \text{for all } g \in G,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\tau : G \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is a given group homomorphism into the unit complex numbers.

MSC2010: 35Q55, 35Q40, 35J20, 35B06.

Keywords: Nonlinear Choquard equation, nonlocal nonlinearity, electromagnetic potential, multiple solutions, intertwining solutions.

1. Introduction and statement of results

We consider the stationary nonlinear magnetic Choquard problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\mathrm{i}\nabla + A(x))^2 u + V(x)u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} * |u|^p\right)|u|^{p-2}u, \\ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}), \\ \nabla u + \mathrm{i}A(x)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}^N), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a C^1-vector potential, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded continuous scalar potential with $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N} V > 0$, $N \geq 3$, $\alpha \in (0,N)$ and $p \in (2-\frac{\alpha}{N}, \frac{2N-\alpha}{N-2})$.

The special case

$$-\Delta u + u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|} * |u|^2\right)u, \qquad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{1.2}$$

is commonly referred to as the stationary *Choquard equation*. It arises in an approximation to Hartree-Fock theory for a one component plasma, and has many interesting applications in the quantum theory of large systems of non-relativistic bosonic atoms and molecules, see e.g. [11, 12, 16] and the references therein. In his 1977 paper [14] Lieb proved the existence and uniqueness, up to translations, of the ground state to equation (1.2). Later, in [17], Lions showed the existence of a sequence of radially symmetric solutions to this equation.

S. Cingolani is supported by the MIUR proyect *Variational and topological methods in the study of nonlinear phenomena* (PRIN 2007).

M. Clapp is supported by CONACYT grant 129847 and PAPIIT grant IN101209 (Mexico).

Equation (1.2) was also introduced by Penrose in his discussion on the self-gravitational collapse of a quantum mechanical wave-function [25, 26, 27]. In this context it is usually called the *Schrödinger-Newton equation.* Penrose suggested that the solutions of (1.2), up to reparametrization, are the basic stationary states which do not spontaneously collapse any further, within a certain time scale. It is therefore of interest to investigate these basic solutions, as has been done e.g. in [21, 22, 30].

The eigenvalue problem associated to problems similar to (1.1) with $N = 3$ and $p = 2$ has been investigated by several authors, both in the magnetic and nonmagnetic case, see e.g. [9, 11, 8] and the references therein. In [1] Ackermann considered periodic potentials V and proved the existence of infinitely many solutions to problem (1.1) for $A = 0$. A problem similar to (1.2) involving a nonautonomous nonlocal term was studied in [33].

Recently, Ma and Zhao [20] studied the generalized stationary nonlinear Choquard problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} * |u|^p\right) |u|^{p-2}u, \\ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Under some assumptions on α, p and N, which include the classical case, they showed that every positive solution to (1.3) is radially symmetric and monotone decreasing about some point. Using this fact, they proved that the positive solution to the Choquard equation (1.2) -and not only the ground state- is unique up to translations. Uniqueness of positive solutions in dimensions greater than 3 is still an open question.

Semiclassical solutions to problem (1.1) for $N = 3$, $\alpha = 1$, $p = 2$, have been recently obtained in [23, 29, 31] when $A = 0$, and in [4, 5] when $A \neq 0$.

In this paper we consider the case where both the vector and the scalar potential have some symmetries. To be precise, we consider a closed subgroup G of the group $O(N)$ of linear isometries of $\mathbb{R}^N$ and assume that A and V satisfy

$$A(gx) = gA(x) \quad \text{and} \quad V(gx) = V(x) \quad \text{for all } g \in G,\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.4}$$

We look for solutions to problem (1.1) which satisfy

$$u(gx) = \tau(g)u(x) \qquad \text{for all } g \in G,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\tau : G \to \mathbb{S}^1$ is a given continuous group homomorphism into the unit complex numbers $\mathbb{S}^1$. Solutions with this property are called *intertwining.* Condition (1.5) implies that the absolute value $|u|$ of u is G-invariant, i.e.

$$|u(gx)| = |u(x)| \qquad \text{for all } g \in G,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

whereas the phase of $u(gx)$ is that of $u(x)$ multiplied by $\tau(g)$.

It might happen that every function satisfying (1.5) is trivial. For example, if $G = O(N)$ and $\tau(g)$ is the determinant of g then for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we may choose a $g_x \in O(N)$ with $g_x x = x$ and $\tau(g_x) = -1$. If $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies (1.5) then $u(x) = u(g_x x) = -u(x)$. Hence $u = 0$. To avoid this behavior we introduce assumption (H_0) below.

First we introduce some notation. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we denote by $Gx := \{gx : g \in G\}$ the G-orbit of x and by $G_x := \{g \in G : gx = x\}$ its isotropy group. We write $\#Gx$

for the cardinality of Gx and $\ker\tau$ for the kernel of τ. Set

$$\delta_G(x) := \begin{cases} \inf\{|gx-hx| : g,h\in G,\ gx\neq hx\} & \text{if } \#Gx>1,\\ 2\,|x| & \text{if } \#Gx=1,\end{cases}$$

$$\Sigma_\tau := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : |x|=1,\ \#Gx=\min_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N\smallsetminus\{0\}}\#Gy,\ G_x\subset\ker\tau\},$$

$$\delta_\tau := \frac{1}{2}\max_{x\in\Sigma_\tau}\delta_G(x).$$

Then, $\delta_\tau\in[0,1]$. Note that $\delta_G(x)=0$ if $\#Gx=\infty$. Hence, if $\delta_\tau>0$, some G-orbit in Σ_τ must be finite.

Set

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,p} := \left[2,\frac{2N}{N-2}\right]\cap\left(p,\frac{pN}{N-\alpha}\right)\cap\left(\frac{(2p-2)N}{N+2-\alpha},\frac{(2p-1)N}{N+2-\alpha}\right]\cap\left[\frac{(2p-1)N}{2N-\alpha},\infty\right).$$

We prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\#Gx=\infty$ for every $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\smallsetminus\{0\}$ and that the following holds:*
(H_0) *There exists $x\in\mathbb{R}^N$ such that $G_x\subset\ker\tau$.*
Then problem (1.1) has a sequence (u_n) of nontrivial solutions which satisfy (1.5) and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(|\nabla u_n+\mathrm{i}Au_n|^2+|u_n|^2\right)=\infty. \tag{1.6}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $\Sigma_\tau\neq\emptyset$ and the following hold:*
(H_1) *$p\in[2,(2N-\alpha)/(N-2))$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha,p}\neq\emptyset$.*
(H_2) *$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}V(x)=V_\infty$ and there exist $c_0>0$, $\varrho>0$ and $\kappa\in(0,2\delta_\tau\sqrt{V_\infty})$ such that*

$$|A(x)|^2+V(x)\le V_\infty-c_0e^{-\kappa|x|}\quad\text{for all } x\in\mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } |x|\ge\varrho.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one nontrivial solution which satisfies (1.5).

Note that, if $N=3$, $p=2$ and $\alpha=1$, then $\Lambda_{\alpha,p}=(2,9/4]$ and assumption (H_1) holds.

Theorem 1.1 may be applied, in particular, to the generalized Choquard problem (1.3). Assumption (H_0) holds for every τ if G acts freely on $\mathbb{R}^N\smallsetminus\{0\}$. This is true, for example, if N is even and $G:=\mathbb{S}^1$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^N\equiv\mathbb{C}^{N/2}$ by complex multiplication on each complex coordinate. If A and V satisfy (1.4) for this $\mathbb{S}^1$-action then, for each $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, taking $\tau(\zeta):=\zeta^m$ in Theorem 1.1 we obtain a sequence $(u_{m,n})$ of solutions to problem (1.1) which satisfy

$$u_{m,n}(\zeta x)=\zeta^m u_{m,n}(x)\quad\text{for all } \zeta\in\mathbb{S}^1,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thus, the restriction of $u_{m,n}$ to a.e. $\mathbb{S}^1$-orbit in $\mathbb{R}^N\smallsetminus\{0\}$ is a map of degree m, for appropriately chosen orientations. It follows that the solutions $u_{m,n}$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, are all different from one another. An example of a magnetic potential A satisfying (1.4) for this $\mathbb{S}^1$-action is $A(z_1,...,z_{N/2})=(\mathrm{i}z_1,...,\mathrm{i}z_{N/2})$, whose associated magnetic field $B=\mathrm{curl}A$ is constant.

Solutions with similar properties are given by Theorem 1.2 if A and V satisfy assumption (1.4) for some finite subgroup of $\mathbb{S}^1$ only. Indeed, if G is the cyclic group generated by $e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}/k}$ and (H_1) and (H_2) hold for $\delta_\tau:=\sin(\pi/k)$, $k\ge2$, we obtain solutions $u_0,\dots,u_{k-1}$ satisfying

$$u_m(\zeta x)=\zeta^m u_m(x)\quad\text{for all } \zeta\in G,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N,\ m=0,...,k-1.$$

These solutions are clearly pairwise different.

Both theorems will be proved using variational methods. The main difficulty is, as usual, the lack of compactness. We show that the variational functional associated to problem (1.1) subject to the constraint (1.5) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition below some energy level determined by the G-action and the value of V at infinity. The Palais-Smale condition holds at all levels when every G-orbit in $\mathbb{R}^N \smallsetminus \{0\}$ is infinite. This allows us to apply the symmetric mountain pass theorem to prove Theorem 1.1 once we show that the domain of the variational functional is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on showing that the ground state of problem (1.3) has the proper asymptotic decay. We prove here that it does.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we discuss the variational setting. In section 3 we prove the Palais-Smale condition and Theorem 1.1. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The required asymptotic estimates are established in Appendix A.

2. The variational setting

Set $\nabla_A u := \nabla u + \mathrm{i} A u$, and consider the real Hilbert space

$$H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) := \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) : \nabla_A u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}^N)\}$$

with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{A,V} := \mathrm{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\nabla_A u \cdot \overline{\nabla_A v} + V(x) u\overline{v}\right).$$

We write

$$\|u\|_{A,V} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla_A u|^2 + V(x)\,|u|^2\right)\right)^{1/2}$$

for the associated norm, which is equivalent to the usual one, defined by taking $V \equiv 1$ [15, Definition 7.20]. If $u \in H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$, then $|u| \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$|\nabla\,|u|\,(x)| \leq |\nabla u(x) + \mathrm{i} A(x) u(x)| \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.1}$$

This is called the *diamagnetic inequality* [15, Theorem 7.21]. Set

$$\mathbb{D}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x)|^p |u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,dxdy$$

and $r := 2N/(2N-\alpha)$. Note that $pr \in (2, 2N/(N-2))$. The classical Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality [15, Theorem 4.3] yields

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\phi(x)\psi(y)}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,dx\,dy\right| \leq K \|\phi\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)} \|\psi\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \tag{2.2}$$

for some positive constant $K = K(\alpha, N)$ and all $\phi, \psi \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In particular,

$$\mathbb{D}(u) \leq K \|u\|^{2p}_{L^{pr}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \tag{2.3}$$

for every $u \in H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$. This shows that $\mathbb{D}$ is well-defined. Inequalities (2.1) and (2.3), together with Sobolev's inequality, yield

$$\mathbb{D}(u)^{1/p} \leq S_* \,\|u\|^2_{A,1} \tag{2.4}$$

for some positive constant $S_* = S_*(p, \alpha, N)$ and every $u \in H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$.

The energy functional $J_{A,V}:H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{R}$ associated to problem (1.1), defined by

$$J_{A,V}(u):=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u\right\|_{A,V}^2-\frac{1}{2p}\mathbb{D}(u),$$

is of class C^2. Its derivative is given by

$$J_{A,V}'(u)v:=\left\langle u,v\right\rangle_{A,V}-\operatorname{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}*|u|^p\right)|u|^{p-2}u\overline{v}.$$

Therefore, the solutions to problem (1.1) are the critical points of $J_{A,V}$.

The action of G on $H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$ given by $(g,u)\mapsto u_g$, where

$$(u_g)(x):=\tau(g)u(g^{-1}x),$$

satisfies

$$\left\langle u_g,v_g\right\rangle_{A,V}=\left\langle u,v\right\rangle_{A,V}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbb{D}(u_g)=\mathbb{D}(u)$$

for all $g\in G$, $u,v\in H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$. Hence, $J_{A,V}$ is G-invariant. By the principle of symmetric criticality [24, 32], the critical points of the restriction of $J_{A,V}$ to the fixed point space of the G-action, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau:\ &=\{u\in H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}):u_g=u\}\\&=\{u\in H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}):u(gx)=\tau(g)u(x)\ \ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^N,\ g\in G\},\end{aligned}$$

are the solutions to problem (1.1) which satisfy (1.5). The nontrivial ones lie on the *Nehari manifold*

$$\mathcal{N}_{A,V}^\tau:=\{u\in H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau:u\neq0,\quad\left\|u\right\|_{A,V}^2=\mathbb{D}(u)\},$$

which is radially diffeomorphic to the unit sphere in $H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$, and

$$E_{A,V}^\tau:=\inf_{u\in\mathcal{N}_{A,V}^\tau}J_{A,V}(u)=\inf_{u\in H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau\smallsetminus\{0\}}\max_{t\geq0}J_{A,V}(u)$$

is the first mountain pass value of the functional $J_{A,V}:H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau\to\mathbb{R}$.

3. The Palais-Smale condition

Recall that $J_{A,V}:H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau\to\mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition $(PS)_c$ at the level c, if every sequence (u_n) such that

$$u_n\in H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau,\qquad J_{A,V}(u_n)\to c,\qquad\nabla J_{A,V}(u_n)\to0,$$

contains a convergent subsequence.

For $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$ we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases}-\Delta u+\lambda u=\left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}*|u|^p\right)|u|^{p-2}u,\\u\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),\end{cases}\tag{3.1}$$

and write $J_\lambda:H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\to\mathbb{R}$,

$$J_\lambda(u):=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u\right\|_\lambda^2-\frac{1}{2p}\mathbb{D}(u),\qquad\text{with}\quad\left\|u\right\|_\lambda^2:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\left|\nabla u\right|^2+\lambda u^2\right),$$

for its associated energy functional, and

$$E_\lambda:=\inf_{u\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\smallsetminus\{0\}}\max_{t\geq0}J_\lambda(tu)$$

for the first mountain pass value of J_λ.

We shall prove the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *The functional* $J_{A,V} : H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})^\tau \to \mathbb{R}$ *satisfies* $(PS)_c$ *at each*

$$c < (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_{V_\infty},$$

where $V_\infty := \liminf_{|x|\to\infty} V(x)$.

The similar statement for the local nonmagnetic problem is well known and can be traced back to Lions' paper [19]. The local magnetic case was recently treated in [3]. The proof of Proposition 3.1, though it follows a similar pattern, requires different arguments at several points where the facts used in [3] are either not known or do not carry over easily to the nonlocal case.

We start by recalling some basic facts about group actions, see [7] for details. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The G-orbit Gx of x is G-homeomorphic to the homogeneous space G/G_x. Isotropy groups satisfy $G_{gx} = gG_xg^{-1}$. Hence the set of isotropy groups of $\mathbb{R}^N$ includes all groups in their conjugacy classes. Note also that, if $\#Gx < \infty$, there is only a finite number of groups conjugate to G_x. The conjugacy class (G_x) of an isotropy group G_x is called an isotropy class. The set of isotropy classes of $\mathbb{R}^N$ is finite. Conjugacy classes of subgroups of G are partially ordered as follows:

$$(K_1) \le (K_2) \iff \text{ there exists } g \in G \text{ such that } gK_1g^{-1} \subset K_2.$$

We set

$$(\mathbb{R}^N)^K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : gx = x \text{ for all } g \in K\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let* (y_n) *be a sequence in* $\mathbb{R}^N$. *Then, after passing to a subsequence, there exist a closed subgroup* Γ *of* G *and a sequence* (ζ_n) *in* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *such that*
(a) $(\operatorname{dist}(Gy_n, \zeta_n))$ *is bounded,*
(b) $G_{\zeta_n} = \Gamma$,
(c) if $|G/\Gamma| < \infty$ *then* $|g\zeta_n - \tilde{g}\zeta_n| \to \infty$ *for all* $g, \tilde{g} \in G$ *with* $\tilde{g}g^{-1} \notin \Gamma$,
(d) if $|G/\Gamma| = \infty$, *there exists a closed subgroup* Γ' *of* G *such that* $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$, $|G/\Gamma'| = \infty$ *and* $|g\zeta_n - \tilde{g}\zeta_n| \to \infty$ *for all* $g, \tilde{g} \in G$ *with* $\tilde{g}g^{-1} \notin \Gamma'$.

Proof. Set $V := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |G/G_x| < \infty\}$. Note that V is a G-invariant linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^N$. We consider two cases.
Case 1. The sequence $(\operatorname{dist}(y_n, V))$ is bounded.
Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the set of isotropy classes (G_x) such that $x \in V$ and, for some $g \in G$, $(\operatorname{dist}(y_n, (\mathbb{R}^N)^{gG_xg^{-1}}))$ contains a bounded subsequence. We claim that $\mathfrak{F} \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, if z_n is the orthogonal projection of y_n onto V, after passing to a subsequence we may assume that $G_{z_n} = K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\operatorname{dist}(y_n, (\mathbb{R}^N)^K) = |y_n - z_n| = \operatorname{dist}(y_n, V)$, we conclude that $(K) \in \mathfrak{F}$.
We choose Γ and a subsequence of (y_n) - which we denote the same way - such that (Γ) is a maximal element of $\mathfrak{F}$ and

$$\operatorname{dist}(y_n, (\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma) \le c < \infty \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let ζ_n be the orthogonal projection of y_n onto $(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma$.
(a) is trivially satisfied since

$$\operatorname{dist}(Gy_n, \zeta_n) \le |y_n - \zeta_n| = (\operatorname{dist}(y_n, (\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma) < c \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $G_{\zeta_n} = K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\operatorname{dist}(y_n, (\mathbb{R}^N)^K) = |y_n - \zeta_n| < c \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore $(K) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Since $\Gamma \subset G_{\zeta_n}$ and (Γ) is maximal, we conclude that $(G_{\zeta_n}) = (\Gamma)$. It follows that $G_{\zeta_n} = \Gamma$. This proves *(b).*

Since $|G/\Gamma| < \infty$, in order to prove *(c)* it suffices to show that, if $g \notin \Gamma$, then $(g\zeta_n - \zeta_n)$ does not contain a bounded subsequence. Arguing by contradiction, assume there exist $\hat{g} \notin \Gamma$ and a bounded subsequence of $(\hat{g}\zeta_n - \zeta_n)$. Let K be the subgroup of G generated by $\Gamma \cup \{\hat{g}\}$, $W := (\mathbb{R}^N)^K$ and $W^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of W in $(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma$. Write

$$\zeta_n = \zeta_n^1 + \zeta_n^2 \qquad \text{with } \ \zeta_n^1 \in W \ \text{ and } \zeta_n^2 \in W^\perp.$$

Then $\hat{g}\zeta_n^2 - \zeta_n^2 = \hat{g}\zeta_n - \zeta_n$. Since $\hat{g} \notin \Gamma$, assertion *(b)* implies that $\hat{g}\zeta_n \neq \zeta_n$. Hence $\zeta_n^2 \neq 0$ and, passing to a subsequence, we have

$$\frac{\zeta_n^2}{|\zeta_n^2|} \to \zeta.$$

If (ζ_n^2) is unbounded, a subsequence satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\hat{g}\zeta_n^2}{|\zeta_n^2|} - \frac{\zeta_n^2}{|\zeta_n^2|} \right| = \frac{|\hat{g}\zeta_n - \zeta_n|}{|\zeta_n^2|} \to 0.$$

Therefore $\zeta \in W$, which is a contradiction. If, on the other hand, $\left(\zeta_n^2\right)$ is bounded then, passing to a subsequence such that $G_{\zeta_n^1} = K_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{dist}(y_n, (\mathbb{R}^N)^{K_1}) = \left|y_n - \zeta_n^1\right| \leq |y_n - \zeta_n| + \left|\zeta_n^2\right| \leq c' < \infty.$$

Hence $(K_1) = (\Gamma)$, which is again a contradiction.

Case 2. The sequence $(\operatorname{dist}(y_n, V))$ is unbounded.

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\operatorname{dist}(y_n, V) \to \infty$ and that there exists an isotropy class (Γ) such that $(G_{y_n}) = (\Gamma)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We choose $\zeta_n \in Gy_n$ such that $G_{\zeta_n} = \Gamma$. Then *(a)* and *(b)* hold. Note that $|G/\Gamma| = \infty$.

Let us prove *(d)*. Let $V^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of V in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and ξ_n be the orthogonal projection of ζ_n onto $V^\perp$. Passing to a subsequence, we have

$$\frac{\xi_n}{|\xi_n|} \to \xi.$$

Set $\Gamma' := G_\xi$. Then $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$ and $|G/\Gamma'| = \infty$. If $[g], [\tilde{g}] \in G/\Gamma'$ and $[g] \neq [\tilde{g}]$ we have that $d := |g\xi - \tilde{g}\xi| > 0$. Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\left|\frac{\xi_n}{|\xi_n|} - \xi\right| < \frac{d}{4}$ for $n \geq n_0$. Then,

$$\frac{d}{2} \leq |g\xi - \tilde{g}\xi| - \left|\frac{g\xi_n}{|\xi_n|} - g\xi\right| - \left|\frac{\tilde{g}\xi_n}{|\xi_n|} - \tilde{g}\xi\right| \leq \left|\frac{g\xi_n}{|\xi_n|} - \frac{\tilde{g}\xi_n}{|\xi_n|}\right| \qquad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{d}{2}\operatorname{dist}(\zeta_n, V) = \frac{d}{2}|\xi_n| \leq |g\xi_n - \tilde{g}\xi_n| \leq |g\zeta_n - \tilde{g}\zeta_n| \qquad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Since $\operatorname{dist}(\zeta_n, V) \to \infty$, assertion *(d)* holds. □

Set $\Omega_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| > R\}$. We write

$$E^G_{\lambda,R} := \inf_{u \in H^1_0(\Omega_R)^G \smallsetminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu)$$

for the first mountain pass value of the restriction of J_λ to the subspace

$$H^1_0(\Omega_R)^G := \{u \in H^1_0(\Omega_R) : u(gx) = u(x) \ \forall g \in G, x \in \Omega_R\}.$$

As usual we identify a function in $H^1_0(\Omega_R)$ with its extension by 0 in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} E^G_{\lambda,R} = (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_\lambda.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $E_\lambda \leq E^G_{\lambda,R} \leq (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_\lambda$. Arguing by contradiction, assume that $c := \sup_{R\geq 0} E^G_{\lambda,R} < (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_\lambda$. Then $c \in \mathbb{R}$. By Ekeland's variational principle [32, Theorem 2.4], we may choose $u_n \in H^1_0(\Omega_n)^G$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \to c \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla_n J_\lambda(u_n)\|_\lambda \to 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\nabla_n J_\lambda(u)$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\nabla J_\lambda(u)$ onto $H^1_0(\Omega_n)^G$. A standard argument yields

$$\frac{p-1}{2p}\|u_n\|^2_\lambda \to c \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p-1}{2p}\mathbb{D}(u_n) \to c.$$

We write $B(y,s) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x-y| < s\}$ and set

$$\eta := \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B(y,1)} |u_n|^2.$$

Since $c > 0$, Lions' lemma [32, Lemma 1.21], together with inequality (2.3), yields that $\eta \neq 0$. We choose $y_n \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\int_{B(y_n,1)} |u_n|^2 \geq \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

Next, we replace (y_n) by (ζ_n) satisfying all conditions of Lemma 3.2, and set $v_n(x) := u_n(x+\zeta_n)$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $v_n(x) \to v(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and $v_n \to v$ strongly in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Choosing $R > 0$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(Gy_n, \zeta_n) \leq R$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\int_{B(0,R+1)} |v_n|^2 = \int_{B(\zeta_n,R+1)} |u_n|^2 \geq \int_{B(y_n,1)} |u_n|^2 \geq \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

Hence, $v \neq 0$ and $|\zeta_n| - n \geq -(R+1)$ for n large enough.
Note that, since $u_n \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)^G$, we have that

$$u_n(x) = v_n g^{-1}(x - g\zeta_n) \quad \text{for all } g \in G. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in G$ be such that $|g_j\zeta_n - g_i\zeta_n| \to \infty$ if $i \neq j$. Then,

$$v_n g_j^{-1} - \sum_{i=j+1}^m v_n g_i^{-1}(\ \cdot\ - g_i\zeta_n + g_j\zeta_n) \rightharpoonup v g_j^{-1}$$

weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\| v_n g_j^{-1} - \sum_{i=j+1}^m v_n g_i^{-1}(\ \cdot\ - g_i\zeta_n + g_j\zeta_n)\right\|^2_\lambda \\ &= \left\| v_n g_j^{-1} - \sum_{i=j}^m v_n g_i^{-1}(\ \cdot\ - g_i\zeta_n + g_j\zeta_n)\right\|^2_\lambda + \left\|v g_j^{-1}\right\|^2_\lambda + o(1).\end{aligned}$$

The change of variable $y = x - g_j\zeta_n$, together with (3.3), yields

$$\left\| u_n - \sum_{i=j+1}^m v_n g_i^{-1}(\ \cdot\ - g_i\zeta_n)\right\|^2_\lambda = \left\| u_n - \sum_{i=j}^m v_n g_i^{-1}(\ \cdot\ - g_i\zeta_n)\right\|^2_\lambda + \|v\|^2_\lambda + o(1),$$

and iterating this equality we obtain

$$\|u_n\|_\lambda^2 = \left\| u_n - \sum_{i=1}^{m} v_n g_i^{-1}(\ \cdot\ - g_i\zeta_n)\right\|_\lambda^2 + m\,\|v\|_\lambda^2 + o(1).$$

Multiplying by $\frac{p-1}{2p}$ and passing to the limit as $n \to \infty$ gives

$$\sup_{R\geq 0} E^G_{\lambda,R} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{p-1}{2p}\,\|u_n\|_\lambda^2 \geq \frac{p-1}{2p} m\,\|v\|_\lambda^2 .$$

Since $\sup_{R\geq 0} E^G_{\lambda,R} < \infty$, condition *(d)* in Lemma 3.2 implies that $|G/\Gamma| < \infty$, where $\Gamma = G_{\zeta_n}$. Condition *(c)* allows us to take $m = |G/\Gamma| = \#G\zeta_n$. Hence,

$$\sup_{R\geq 0} E^G_{\lambda,R} \geq (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)\frac{p-1}{2p}\,\|v\|_\lambda^2 .$$

To finish the proof we will show that $\frac{p-1}{2p}\,\|v\|_\lambda^2 \geq E_\lambda$. We distinguish two cases:
Case 1. If the sequence $(|\zeta_n| - n)$ is unbounded, passing to a subsequence we may assume that $|\zeta_n| - n \to \infty$. Then, every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ is contained in $\Omega_n - \zeta_n$ for n large enough. So, by (3.2), v is a nontrivial solution to problem (3.1). Hence, $J_\lambda(v) = \frac{p-1}{2p}\,\|v\|_\lambda^2 \geq E_\lambda$.
Case 2. If $(|\zeta_n| - n)$ is bounded then, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $|\zeta_n| - n \to d$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and that $\frac{\zeta_n}{|\zeta_n|} \to \zeta_0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Consider the half-space $\mathbb{H} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : (x + d\zeta_0)\cdot\zeta_0 > 0\}$. Since every compact subset in the interior of $\mathbb{R}^N \smallsetminus \mathbb{H}$ is contained in $\mathbb{R}^N \smallsetminus (\Omega_n - \zeta_n)$ for large enough n, we have that $v \in H^1_0(\mathbb{H})$. Moreover, since every compact subset of $\mathbb{H}$ is contained in $\Omega_n - \zeta_n$ for large enough n, we have that v is a nontrivial solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u = \left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} * |u|^p\right)|u|^{p-2}u, \\ u \in H^1_0(\mathbb{H}). \end{cases}$$

Hence, $J_\lambda(v) = \frac{p-1}{2p}\,\|v\|_\lambda^2 \geq \inf_{u\in H^1_0(\mathbb{H})\smallsetminus\{0\}} \sup_{t\geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) \geq E_\lambda$, as claimed.
We conclude that $\sup_{R\geq 0} E^G_{\lambda,R} \geq (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_\lambda$, contradicting our assumption. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let (u_n) be a sequence in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$,*

$$J_{A,V}(u_n) \to c < (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_{V_\infty}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla J_{A,V}(u_n) \to 0$$

strongly in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$, where $V_\infty := \liminf_{|x|\to\infty} V(x)$. Then a subsequence of (u_n) converges strongly to 0 in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. A standard argument shows that

$$\frac{p-1}{2p}\|u_n\|^2_{A,V} \to c \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p-1}{2p}\mathbb{D}(u_n) \to c.$$

So, if $c \leq 0$, then $u_n \to 0$ strongly in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$ and we are done.
Assume that $c > 0$. Fix $\lambda < V_\infty$ such that $c < (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_\lambda$, and $R_0 > 0$ such that $V(x) \geq \lambda$ if $|x| \geq R_0$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Since (u_n) is bounded in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$

there exists $R_\varepsilon > R_0$ such that $R_\varepsilon \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and, after passing to a subsequence,

$$\int_{R_\varepsilon<|x|<R_\varepsilon+1} \left(|\nabla_A u_n|^2 + V(x)\,|u_n|^2\right) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We may assume that $|u_n| \to 0$ strongly in $L^{rp}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $r := 2N/(2N-\alpha)$. Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{R})$ be radial and such that $\chi(x) = 0$ if $|x| \le R_\varepsilon$, $\chi(x) = 1$ if $|x| \ge R_\varepsilon + 1$, and $\chi(x) \in [0,1]$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Set $w_n := \chi u_n$. Then, using (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{D}(u_n) - \mathbb{D}(w_n)| &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\left||u_n(x)|^p|u_n(y)|^p - |w_n(x)|^p|w_n(y)|^p\right|}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,dxdy \\
&\le 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x)|^p\,\left||u_n(y)|^p - |w_n(y)|^p\right|}{|x-y|^\alpha}\,dxdy \\
&\le 2K\|u_n\|^p_{L^{pr}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\left\||u_n(x)|^p - |w_n(y)|^p\right\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\le C\,\|u_n\|^p_{L^{rp}(B(0,R_\varepsilon+1))} = o(1).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Here and in the following C denotes some positive constant independent of n, not necessarily the same one. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} * |u_n|^p\right)|u_n|^{p-2}\,u_n\bar{w}_n - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^\alpha} * |w_n|^p\right)|w_n|^{p-2}\,w_n\bar{w}_n\right| \\
&\le C\,\|u_n\|^p_{L^{rp}(B(0,R_\varepsilon+1))} = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|J'_{A,V}(u_n)w_n - J'_{A,V}(w_n)w_n\right| &\le \left|\langle u_n - w_n, w_n\rangle_{A,V}\right| + o(1) \\
&\le C\int_{R_\varepsilon<|x|<R_\varepsilon+1}\left(|\nabla_A u_n|^2 + V(x)\,|u_n|^2\right) + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $J'_{A,V}(u_n)w_n \to 0$ we conclude that

$$\left|\|w_n\|^2_{A,V} - \mathbb{D}(w_n)\right| < C\varepsilon \quad \text{for } n \text{ large enough.}$$

Noting that $\mathbb{D}(w_n) \to (2p/(p-1))\,c > 0$ and using the diamagnetic inequality (2.1) we obtain that

$$\frac{\||w_n|\|^2_\lambda}{\mathbb{D}(w_n)^{1/p}} \le \frac{\|w_n\|^2_{A,V}}{\mathbb{D}(w_n)^{1/p}} \le \mathbb{D}(w_n)^{(p-1)/p} + C\varepsilon \tag{3.5}$$

for n large enough. Observe that

$$c^G_{\lambda,R_\varepsilon} \le \max_{t\ge 0} J_{A,V}(t\,|w_n|) = \frac{p-1}{2p}\left(\frac{\||w_n|\|^2_\lambda}{\mathbb{D}(w_n)^{1/p}}\right)^{p/(p-1)}.$$

Together with (3.5), this inequality yields

$$c^G_{\lambda,R_\varepsilon} \le \frac{p-1}{2p}\left(\mathbb{D}(w_n)^{(p-1)/p} + C\varepsilon\right)^{p/(p-1)},$$

So letting first $n \to \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0$ we conclude that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} c^G_{\lambda,R} \le c < (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_\lambda.$$

This contradicts Lemma 3.3. Hence, $c = 0$ and $u_n \to 0$ strongly in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let* (u_n) *be a sequence in* $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ *such that* $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ *weakly in* $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$. *The following hold:*
(i) $\mathbb{D}'(u_n)v \to \mathbb{D}'(u)v$ *for all* $v \in H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$.
(ii) After passing to a subsequence, there exists a sequence $(\widetilde{u}_n)$ *in* $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ *such that* $\widetilde{u}_n \to u$ *strongly in* $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D}(u_n)-\mathbb{D}(u_n-\widetilde{u}_n)&\to\mathbb{D}(u)\quad\text{in }\mathbb{R},\\ \mathbb{D}'(u_n)-\mathbb{D}'(u_n-\widetilde{u}_n)&\to\mathbb{D}'(u)\quad\text{in }\left[H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})\right]^*.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to that of Lemma 3.5 in [1]. The function $\widetilde{u}_n$ is the product of u_n with a radial cut-off function, so $\widetilde{u}_n$ belongs to $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ if u_n does. We omit the details. □

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $u_n \in H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ satisfy

$$J_{A,V}(u_n)\to c<(\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}}\#Gx)E_{V_\infty},\quad\text{and}\quad\nabla J_{A,V}(u_n)\to 0$$

strongly in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$. Since (u_n) is bounded in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$ it contains a subsequence such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$. By Lemma 3.5, u solves (1.1) and, after passing to a subsequence, there exists a sequence $(\widetilde{u}_n)$ in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ such that $v_n := u_n - \widetilde{u}_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$,

$$\begin{aligned}J_{A,V}(u_n)-J_{A,V}(v_n)&\to J_{A,V}(u)\quad\text{in }\mathbb{R},\\ \nabla J_{A,V}(u_n)-\nabla J_{A,V}(v_n)&\to 0\quad\text{strongly in }H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $J_{A,V}(u)\geq 0$,

$$J_{A,V}(v_n)\to c-J_{A,V}(u)\leq c,\quad\text{and}\quad\nabla J_{A,V}(v_n)\to 0$$

strongly in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$. By Lemma 3.4 a subsequence of (v_n) converges strongly to 0 in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$. This implies that a subsequence of (u_n) converges strongly to u in $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})$. □

There exists a unique isotropy class (P) such that

$$\mathbb{R}^N_{(P)} := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N : (G_x) = (P)\}$$

is open and dense in $\mathbb{R}^N$. (P) is called the *principal isotropy class.* Any other isotropy class satisfies $(G_x)\geq(P)$, see e.g. [7, Chapter I, Theorem 5.14].

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 3.1, if $\#Gx=\infty$ for every $x\in\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}$ then $J_{A,V}: H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfies $(PS)_c$ for every $c\in\mathbb{R}$. The group $\mathbb{S}^1$ acts on $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ by complex multiplication, with 0 as its only fixed point, and $J_{A,V}$ is $\mathbb{S}^1$-invariant. Standard arguments, using inequality (2.4), show that $J_{A,V}$ satisfies the mountain pass conditions of the $\mathbb{S}^1$-symmetric mountain pass theorem, cf. [10, Theorem 3.14] or [6, Theorem 1.5]. In order to conclude that $J_{A,V}$ has an unbounded sequence of critical values we need to show that $H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ is infinite dimensional.
The quotient map $\mathbb{R}^N_{(P)}\to\mathbb{R}^N_{(P)}/G$ onto the G-orbit space of $\mathbb{R}^N_{(P)}$ is a smooth fibre bundle with fibre G/P. Hence, the G-orbit of every $x\in\mathbb{R}^N_{(P)}$ has a tubular neighborhood U_x which is G-diffeomorphic to the product $B\times(G/P)$ of an open ball

B of dimension $N-\dim G/P$ with G/P, with the obvious G-action. Assumption (H_0) implies that $P\subset\ker\tau$. Hence, the function

$$C_c^\infty(B,\mathbb{C})\to C_c^\infty(B\times(G/P),\mathbb{C})^\tau\cong C_c^\infty(U_x,\mathbb{C})^\tau,$$

given by $\varphi\mapsto\tilde\varphi$ where $\tilde\varphi(z,gP):=\tau(g)\varphi(z)$, is well defined and it is a linear isomorphism. Here the superindex τ indicates again the subspaces of functions satisfying (1.5). Since $C_c^\infty(U_x,\mathbb{C})^\tau\subset H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ and $C_c^\infty(B,\mathbb{C})$ is infinite dimensional, it follows that $H_A^1(\mathbb{R}^N,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ is infinite dimensional. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Throughout this section we assume that (H_1) and (H_2) hold.

It is known that the first mountain pass value E_λ of the functional J_λ associated to problem (3.1) is attained at a positive function $\omega_\lambda\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This can be proved using Lions' concentration compactness method [18]. Recently, Ma and Zhao showed that, if (H_1) holds, then every positive solution of (3.1) is radially symmetric [20]. After translation, we may assume that ω_λ is radially symmetric with respect to the origin. Moreover, for every $\mu\in(0,\lambda)$,

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\omega_\lambda(x)&=O(|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}\,e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|})\\|\nabla\omega_\lambda(x)|&=O(|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}\,e^{-\sqrt{\mu}|x|})\end{aligned}\right\}\quad\text{as } |x|\to\infty. \tag{4.1}$$

We prove this in Appendix A. We write $\omega_\infty:=\omega_{V_\infty}$ for $V_\infty:=\lim_{|x|\to\infty}V(x)$.

Let κ be as in assumption (H_2). Fix $\mu\in(0,V_\infty)$ such that $\kappa\in(0,2\delta_\tau\sqrt{\mu})$. Fix $\varepsilon\in(0,(2\delta_\tau\sqrt{\mu}-\kappa)/(2\delta_\tau\sqrt{\mu}+\kappa))$ and a nonincreasing cut-off function $\chi\in C^\infty[0,\infty)$ such that $\chi(t)=1$ if $t\le 1-\varepsilon$ and $\chi(t)=0$ if $t\ge1$. For $R\in(0,\infty)$ and $u\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we write

$$u^R(x):=\chi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)u(x).$$

In the following C will denote some positive constant, not necessarily the same one.

Lemma 4.1. *As $R\to\infty$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left||\nabla\omega_\infty|^2-\left|\nabla\omega_\infty^R\right|^2\right|=O(e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}(1-\varepsilon)R}), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\left|\mathbb{D}(\omega_\infty)-\mathbb{D}(\omega_\infty^R)\right|=O(e^{-p\sqrt{\mu}(1-\varepsilon)R}). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. To prove (4.3) we apply (2.2) as in (3.4), and (4.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbb{D}(\omega_\infty)-\mathbb{D}(\omega_\infty^R)\right|&\le 2K\|\omega_\infty^p\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}\|\omega_\infty^p-(\omega_\infty^R)^p\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)}\\&\le C\left(\int_{|x|\ge(1-\varepsilon)R}\omega_\infty^{pr}(x)dx\right)^{1/r}\\&\le C\left(\int_{(1-\varepsilon)R}^\infty e^{-pr\sqrt{\mu}t}dt\right)^{1/r}=Ce^{-p\sqrt{\mu}(1-\varepsilon)R},\end{aligned}$$

as $R\to\infty$. The proof of (4.2) is also easy. □

For $y\in\mathbb{R}^N$ set $R_y:=\left[(\kappa+2\delta_\tau\sqrt{\mu})/4\delta_\tau\sqrt{\mu}\right]\delta_\tau\,|y|$. Note that $R_y\in(0,\delta_\tau\,|y|)$. Since $\delta_\tau\in(0,1]$ we have that $|y|-R_y\to\infty$ as $|y|\to\infty$. For $u\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we write $u_y(x):=u(x-y)$.

Lemma 4.2. *There exist* $\varrho_0, d_0 \in (0,\infty)$ *such that*

$$J_{A,V}(t(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_y) \leq E_{V_\infty} - d_0 e^{-\kappa|y|} \quad \textit{for all } t \geq 0 \quad \textit{if } |y| \geq \varrho_0.$$

Proof. Let $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Observe that, since u is real-valued, $|\nabla_A u|^2 = |\nabla u|^2 + |A|^2 u^2$. Therefore, (H_2) implies there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$C_1 \|u\|_{V_\infty}^2 \leq \|u\|_{A,V}^2 \leq C_2 \|u\|_{V_\infty}^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Note also that

$$\max_{t\geq 0} J_{A,V}(tu) = J_{A,V}(t_u u) \quad \text{iff} \quad t_u = \left(\frac{\|u\|_{A,V}^2}{\mathbb{D}(u)}\right)^{1/(2p-2)}.$$

So, since $\omega_\infty^{R_y} \to \omega_\infty$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $|y| \to \infty$, there exist $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \infty$ such that

$$\max_{t\geq 0} J_{A,V}(t(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_y) = \max_{t_1 \leq t \leq t_2} J_{A,V}(t(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_y)$$

for all large enough $|y|$.
Let $t \in [t_1, t_2]$. Assumption (H_2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|A|^2 + V)(t\omega_\infty^{R_y})_y^2 &\leq t^2 \int_{|x|\leq R_y} (|A(x+y)|^2 + V(x+y))(\omega_\infty^{R_y})^2(x)dx \\
&\leq t^2 \int_{|x|\leq R_y} (V_\infty - c_0 e^{-\kappa|x+y|})\omega_\infty^2(x)dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\infty (t\omega_\infty)^2 - \left(c_0 t_1^2 \int_{|x|\leq 1} e^{-\kappa|x|}\omega_\infty^2(x)dx\right) e^{-\kappa|y|}
\end{aligned}$$

for $|y|$ large enough. Therefore, using Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{A,V}(t(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_y) &= \frac{1}{2}\left\|t(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_y\right\|_{A,V}^2 - \frac{1}{2p}\mathbb{D}(t(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_y) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\|t\omega_\infty\|_{V_\infty}^2 - \frac{1}{2p}\mathbb{D}(t\omega_\infty) - Ce^{-\kappa|y|} + O(e^{-2\sqrt{\mu}(1-\varepsilon)R_y}) \\
&\leq \max_{t\geq 0} J_{V_\infty}(t\omega_\infty) - d_0 e^{-\kappa|y|} \\
&= E_{V_\infty} - d_0 e^{-\kappa|y|}
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large $|y|$, because our choices of ε and R_y guarantee that $2\sqrt{\mu}(1-\varepsilon)R_y > \kappa|y|$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Choose $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\#G\xi = \min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\smallsetminus\{0\}} \#Gx$, $|\xi| = 1$, $G_\xi \subset \ker\tau$, and

$$\min\{|g\xi - h\xi| : g,h \in G,\ g\xi \neq h\xi\} = 2\delta_\tau \quad \text{if } \#G\xi > 1.$$

Note that $\#G\xi < \infty$ because $\delta_\tau > 0$. Set $y := \varrho_0\xi$, with ϱ_0 as in Lemma 4.2, and

$$\theta := \sum_{gy\in Gy} \tau(g)(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_{gy}.$$

Since ω_∞ is radially symmetric we have that $\theta(gx) = \tau(g)\theta(x)$ for every $g \in G$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, since $R_y < \delta_\tau|y|$, the functions $(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_{gy}$ and $(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_{hy}$ have disjoint supports if $g\xi \neq h\xi$. So applying Lemma 4.2 we obtain

$$J_{A,V}(t\theta) = (\#G\xi)\, J_{A,V}(t(\omega_\infty^{R_y})_y) < (\#G\xi)\, E_{V_\infty}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. This implies that $E^{\tau}_{A,V} < (\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\smallsetminus\{0\}} \#Gx)E_{V_\infty}$. By Proposition 3.1, $J_{A,V}$ satisfies $(PS)_c$ at $c = E^{\tau}_{A,V}$. The classical mountain pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2] yields a solution u of problem (1.1) which satisfies (1.5) and $J_{A,V}(u) = E^{\tau}_{A,V}$. ☐

Appendix A. Asymptotic decay of ground states

We shall prove (4.1). Set $K(x) := \frac{1}{|x|^\alpha}$. The following lemma highlights the role of the assumption $\Lambda_{\alpha,p} \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma A.1. *If (H_1) holds, then every solution $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to problem (3.1) has the following properties:*

(i) $u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *for every* $r \in [2,\infty)$.

(ii) There exist $p < p_1 \leq p_2$ *and* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$|(K * |u|^p)(x)| \leq C\left(\|u\|^p_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|^p_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

(iii) $K * |u|^p$ *is continuous on* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *and* $\lim_{|x|\to\infty}(K * |u|^p)(x) = 0$.

(iv) u *is of class* C^2.

Proof. We prove *(i)* by a bootstrapping argument. Fix $q \in \Lambda_{\alpha,p}$ and set

$$\frac{1}{t} := \frac{p}{q} - \frac{N-\alpha}{N}.$$

Since $q \in \Lambda_{\alpha,p}$ we have that $q \in (p, 2N/(N-2)]$ and $1/t \in (0,1)$. Hence $K * |u|^p \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$, cf. [15, Section 4.3 (9)]. Set $r_0 := qN/(N+2q)$ and

$$\frac{1}{r_1} := \frac{(p-1)(N-2r_0)}{Nr_0} + \frac{1}{t} = \frac{p-1}{q} + \frac{1}{t}.$$

Since $q \in \Lambda_{\alpha,p}$ we have that $1/r_1 < 1/r_0$ and $1/r_1 \in (0,1]$ so, by Hölder's inequality, $(K * |u|^p)\,|u|^{p-2}\,u \in L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using L^q-regularity theory we conclude that $u \in W^{2,r_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Sobolev's inequality then implies that $u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $r \in [2, Nr_1/(N-2r_1)]$ if $2r_1 < N$, and $u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $r \in [2,\infty)$ if $2r_1 \geq N$. If $2r_k < N$ we continue the process by setting

$$\frac{1}{r_{k+1}} := \frac{(p-1)(N-2r_{k-1})}{Nr_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{t}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{r_k} - \frac{1}{r_{k+1}} = (p-1)\left[\frac{1}{r_{k-1}} - \frac{1}{r_k}\right] = (p-1)^k\left[\frac{1}{r_0} - \frac{1}{r_1}\right].$$

Hence $1/r_k \geq 2/N$ for some $k \geq 1$ and arguing as above we conclude that $u \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $r \in [2,\infty)$.

To prove *(ii)* we fix $\delta \in (0, N-\alpha)$ and set $s := (N-\delta)/\alpha$ and $t := (N+\delta)/\alpha$. Write $K = K_1 + K_2$ with $K_1 \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $K_2 \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $p \geq 2$, assertion *(i)* implies that $|u|^p \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for every $r \in [1,\infty)$. So using Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$|(K_1 * |u|^p)(x)| \leq \|K_1\|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}\,\|u\|^p_{L^{ps'}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

$$|(K_2 * |u|^p)(x)| \leq \|K_2\|_{L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)}\,\|u\|^p_{L^{pt'}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

which immediately yield *(ii)*. Moreover, applying [15, Lemma 2.20] we obtain that $K_j * |u|^p$ is continuous and

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \left(K_j * |u|^p\right)(x) = 0, \qquad j = 1, 2.$$

This proves *(iii)*. Property *(iv)* follows from assertion *(i),* Sobolev's embedding theorem and Schauder theory in the usual way, cf. e.g. [28, Appendix B]. □

Our set $\Lambda_{\alpha,p}$ coincides with the set Λ defined by Ma and Zhao in [20, Remark 3], except possibly for the point $2(p-1)N/(N+2-\alpha)$ which we have excluded to guarantee that $1/r_1 < 1/r_0$ in Lemma A.1 above. Note however that $\Lambda_{\alpha,p} \neq \emptyset$ iff $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$, because $2(p-1)N/(N+2-\alpha) < 2N/(N-2)$ if $p < (2N-\alpha)/(N-2)$.

Proposition A.2. *Assume that* (H_1) *holds. Then every positive solution* u *to problem* (3.1) *is radially symmetric with respect to some point and satisfies the following:*
(i) If $p > 2$ *then*

$$u(x), |\nabla u(x)| = O(|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}) \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty. \tag{A.1}$$

(ii) If $p = 2$ *then, for every* $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda)$,

$$u(x), |\nabla u(x)| = O(|x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda-\varepsilon}|x|}) \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty. \tag{A.2}$$

Proof. Let u be a positive solution to problem (3.1). Ma and Zhao showed that, if (H_1) holds, then u is radially symmetric and monotone decreasing with respect to some point [20, Theorem 2]. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda)$. Lemma A.1*(iii)* implies that there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that $\left((K * u^p)\, u^{p-1}\right)(x) < \varepsilon u(x)$ for every $|x| \geq R_1$. It follows that

$$-\Delta u(x) + (\lambda - \varepsilon) u(x) < 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq R_1.$$

On the other hand, for R_2 large enough, the function $v(x) := |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda-\varepsilon}|x|}$ satisfies

$$-\Delta v(x) + (\lambda - \varepsilon) v(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq R_2.$$

Therefore, by the maximum principle,

$$u(x) \leq |x|^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda-\varepsilon}|x|} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \max\{R_1, R_2\}.$$

This proves that u satisfies (A.2). Moreover, since $u(x) = O(e^{-\sqrt{\lambda-\varepsilon}|x|})$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda)$, if $p > 2$ there exists $\beta > \sqrt{\lambda}$ such that

$$\left(K * |u|^p\right) u^{p-1}(x) = O(e^{-\beta|x|}).$$

The same argument given in the last part of the proof of [13, Proposition 1.4] yields estimate (A.1) for u. Using interior estimates we obtain the decay estimates for $|\nabla u(x)|$. □

References

[1] N. Ackermann, On a periodic Schrödinger equation with nonlocal superlinear part, *Math. Z.* **248** (2004), 423-443.

[2] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **14** (1973), 349-381.

[3] S. Cingolani, M. Clapp, Intertwining semiclassical bound states to a nonlinear magnetic equation, *Nonlinearity* **22** (2009), 2309-2331.

[4] S. Cingolani, M. Clapp, S.Secchi, Intertwining semiclassical solutions to a Schrödinger-Newton system, preprint.

[5] S. Cingolani, S. Secchi, M. Squassina, Semiclassical limit for Schrödinger equations with magnetic field and Hartree-type nonlinearities, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **140 A** (2010), 973–1009.

[6] M. Clapp, D. Puppe, Critical point theory with symmetries, *J. reine angew. Math.* **418** (1991), 1-29.

[7] T. tom Dieck, "Transformation groups", Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York 1987.

[8] M. Enstedt, M. Melgaard, "Multiple solutions of Choquard type equations", preprint 2011.

[9] M.J. Esteban, P.L. Lions, Stationary solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with an external magnetic field, in *Partial Differential Equations and the Calculus of Variations*, Vol. 1, F. Colombini, A. Marino, L. Modica, S. Spagnolo (eds.), pp. 401-449, Birkhäuser 1989.

[10] E. Fadell, S. Husseini, P.H. Rabinowitz, Borsuk-Ulam theorems for $\mathbb{S}^1$-actions and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **274** (1982), 345-359.

[11] J. Fröhlich, E. Lenzmann, Mean-field limit of quantum Bose gases and nonlinear Hartree equation, in *Séminaire: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles* 2003–2004, Exp. No. XIX, 26 pp., École Polytech., Palaiseau, 2004.

[12] J.Ginibre, G. Velo, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with nonlocal interaction, *Math. Z.* **170** (1980), 109–136.

[13] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni, L. Nirenberg, Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A, pp. 369–402, *Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud.* **7A**, Academic Press, New York-London, 1981.

[14] E.H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard's nonlinear equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **57** (1977), 93-105.

[15] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, "Analysis", *Graduate Studies in Math.* **14**, Amer. Math. Soc. 1997.

[16] E.H. Lieb, B. Simon, The Hartree-Fock theory for Coulomb systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **53** (1977), 185–194.

[17] P.-L. Lions, The Choquard equation and related questions, *Nonlinear Anal. T.M.A.* **4** (1980), 1063–1073.

[18] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compacteness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case, *Ann. Inst. Henry Poincaré, Analyse Non Linéaire* **1** (1984), 109-145 and 223-283.

[19] P.-L. Lions, Symmetries and the concentration-compacteness method, in *Nonlinear Variational Problems*, pp. 47-56, Pitman, London, 1985.

[20] L. Ma, L. Zhao, Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **195** (2010), 455-467.

[21] I.M. Moroz, R. Penrose, P. Tod, Spherically-symmetric solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton equations, Topology of the Universe Conference (Cleveland, OH, 1997), *Classical Quantum Gravity* **15** (1998), 2733–2742.

[22] I.M. Moroz, P. Tod, An analytical approach to the Schrödinger-Newton equations, *Nonlinearity* **12** (1999), 201–216.

[23] M. Nolasco, Breathing modes for the Schrödinger–Poisson system with a multiple–well external potential, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **9** (2010), 1411–1419.

[24] R. Palais, The principle of symmetric criticallity, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **69** (1979), 19-30.

[25] R. Penrose, On gravity's role in quantum state reduction, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **28** (1996), 581–600.

[26] R. Penrose, Quantum computation, entanglement and state reduction, *R. Soc. Lond. Philos. Trans. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **356** (1998), 1927–1939.

[27] R. Penrose, "The road to reality. A complete guide to the laws of the universe", Alfred A. Knopf Inc., New York 2005.

[28] M. Struwe, "Variational methods", Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg 1996.

[29] S. Secchi, A note on Schrödinger–Newton systems with decaying electric potential, *Nonlinear Analysis* **72** (2010), 3842–3856.

[30] P. Tod, The ground state energy of the Schrödinger-Newton equation, *Physics Letters A* **280** (2001), 173–176.

[31] J. Wei, M. Winter, Strongly interacting bumps for the Schrödinger–Newton equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009), 012905.

[32] M. Willem, "Minimax theorems"*, PNLDE* **24**, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin 1996.

[33] Z. Zhang, T. Küpper, A. Hu, H. Xia, Existence of a nontrivial solution for Choquard's equation, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* **26** (2006), 460–468.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Bari, via Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy.
E-mail address: `s.cingolani@poliba.it`

Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, C.U., 04510 México D.F., Mexico.
E-mail address: `mclapp@matem.unam.mx`

Dipartimento di Matematica ed Applicazioni, Università di Milano-Bicocca, via Cozzi 53, 20125 Milano, Italy.
E-mail address: `Simone.Secchi@unimib.it`